Two other minor ketones were separated by preparative glpc (column A at $175^{\circ}$ ). The ketone which formed $6 \%$ of the mixture was the isomeric ketone 32a: ir $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $\mathrm{nmr} 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ and $0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. An exact mass determination gave m/e 206.1670 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}, 206.1670$ ).

The least ketone was 27 as identified by glpe and ir.
Demteration of 32b. A solution of $\mathbf{3 2 b}\left(9 \mathrm{mg}\right.$ ) in methanol $-d_{1}$ $(0.5 \mathrm{ml})$ was added to a sodium methoxide solution prepared from sodium ( 10 mg ) and methanol $-d_{1}(0.5 \mathrm{ml})$, and the mixture was refluxed for 1 hr under nitrogen. Deuterium oxide ( 3 drops) was added, and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in pentane and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Removal of the solvent gave an oil, $m / e 208\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

2,6,6,9-Tetramethyltricyclo[5.4.0.02,8]undecan-exo-9-0l (35). A solution of 32 b ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry ether ( 3 ml ) was added to a methyllithium solution, prepared from lithium ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl iodide ( 0.31 ml ) in dry ether ( 4 ml ), under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred for 5.5 hr at room temperature, and the reaction was then quenched with cold aqueous ammonium chloride. The organic layer was separated and washed with water and brine. $\mathrm{Re}-$ moval of the solvent left crystals, which were recrystallized from pentane at a Dry Ice-methanol temperature affording pure longipinanol (35) (44 mg, 80\%): mp 98.0-98.5 ${ }^{\circ}$; ir (KBr) 3370, 3025, 1109,915 , and $879 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; nmr $0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, and 1.05 and 1.22 ( s , 3 H each). ${ }^{36}$
$\alpha$ - and $\beta$-Longipinenes (1a and 1b). Phosphorus oxychloride $(0.16 \mathrm{ml})$ was added to a solution of $35(42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry
pyridine ( 1 ml ). The mixture was heated to $100^{\circ}$ and kept at this temperature for 5 min . After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into a stirred mixture of pentane ( 30 ml ) and cold water ( 20 ml ). Stirring was continued for further 20 min , and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with two $30-\mathrm{ml}$ portions of pentane. The combined organic layers were successively washed with water, dilute sodium carbonate, water, aqueous cupric sulfate, water, and brine, and dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ). The solvent was distilled off through a Vigreux column to leave an oil, whose pentane solution was passed through a column of neutral alumina (Woelm, activity II, 4 g ). Removal of the solvent left a clear colorless oil. Glpc analysis (column B at $157^{\circ}$ ) indicated that the oil consisted of two main olefins ( 48 and $36 \%$ ), along with two unidentified minor products ( $16 \%$ ). The most abundant olefin was presumed to be $\alpha$-longipinene by glpc. The products were separated by preparative glpe to give two main olefins. One of them was ( $\pm$ )- $\alpha$-longipinene as identified by comparison of the ir and $n m r$ spectra with those of $(+)-\alpha$-longipinene: ir (film) 3030, 1656, and $786 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; nmr $0.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, and $5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{36}$

The second olefin, ( $\pm$ )- $\beta$-longipinene, was identified by comparison of the ir and $n m r$ spectra with those of ( - )- $\beta$-longipinene: ir (film) 3070,1642 , and $973 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; nmr $0.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, and $4.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, W_{1 / 2}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right){ }^{36}$
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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of meso-lanthionine dihydrochloride $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}\right)$ has a remarkably short $\mathrm{S}^{\gamma} \ldots \mathrm{S}^{\gamma}$ contact distance, 3.251 (2) $\AA$, between two sulfide ( $\mathrm{C}^{\left.\beta^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}^{\beta}\right) \text { groups that are almost coplanar. A second }}$ sulfur contact, 3.515 (2) $\AA$ to $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, is also directed close to the plane through $\mathrm{C}^{\beta^{\prime}-\mathrm{S}^{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}^{\beta} \text {. Two intramolecular }}$ $\mathbf{S}^{\gamma} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ contacts, each 2.75 (8) $\AA \mathrm{A}$ long, are directed, in contrast, nearly normal to this plane. These results are interpreted in terms of the orbital geometry of $\mathbf{S}^{\gamma}$ 's unshared pair of 3 p electrons, and they suggest a smaller van der Waals radius for sulfur in the plane of the sulfide group. Both carboxyl carbon atoms are $\pm$ antiperiplanar to $\mathrm{S}^{\gamma}$, an unusual conformation in structures of amino acids containing sulfur. Two carboxyl and one amino hydrogen atoms form short hydrogen bonds, 2.11 (7) $\AA$ long, to $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions. Each of five amino hydrogen atoms has two contacts with electronegative atoms, and lies in the plane through these atoms and its bonded nitrogen. The crystals have the space group $P 2_{1} / a$. The cell constants $\left[T=22 \pm 3^{\circ}, \lambda\left(\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha_{1}\right) 1.54051 \AA\right]$ are $a=9.821$ (1), $b=20.158$ (1), and $c=5.706$ (1) $\AA, \beta=93.37(1)^{\circ}, V_{0}=1127.7 \AA^{3}$, and $Z=4 ; d_{\text {obsd }}$ is 1.67 (1) $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ (flotation), $d_{\text {caled }}$ is $1.656 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, and $\mu$ is $68.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Data were measured ( $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha, 2 \theta \leq 160^{\circ}$ ) on a G. E. XRD-6 diffractometer, and were corrected for absorption. The structure, solved by the heavy atom method, was refined by least squares to an $R$ of 0.056 , using 1606 reflections.


Lanthionine ( $3,3^{\prime}$-thiodialanine) is a rare, naturally occurring amino acid, the sulfide analog of cystine. It was isolated originally from wool, chicken feathers, lactalbumin, and human hair, after treatment with dilute alkali; ${ }^{2}$ and it has also been found in the peptide

[^0]antibiotics subtilin ${ }^{3 a}$ and nisin. ${ }^{3 b}$ du Vigneaud and Brown were the first to synthesize the $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}$, and meso forms. ${ }^{4}$ We decided to study lanthionine's crystal structure in order to compare it with the several structures already reported for L-cystine, L-cysteine, and
(3) (a) J. C. Lewis and N. S. Snell, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 73, 4812 (1951); (b) E. Gross and J. L. Morell, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 4634 (1971).
(4) V. du Vigneaud and G. B. Brown, J. Biol. Chem., 138, 151 (1941); G. B. Brown and V. du Vigneaud, ibid., 140, 767 (1941).

Table I. Crystal Structures of L-Cystine, L-Cysteine, and Their Derivatives

| Compound | Ref |
| :--- | :---: |
| L-Cystine |  |
| L-Cystine dihydrochloride | $a$ |
| L-Cystine | $b$ |
| L-Cystine dihydrobromide | $c$ |
| L-Cystine derivatives | $d$ |
| $N, N^{\prime}$-Diglycyl-L-cystine dihydrate | $e$ |
| L-Cystinediamide dihydrochloride | $f$ |
| L-Cysteine | $g$ |
| L-Cysteine |  |
| L-Cysteine hydrochloride hydrate | $h$ |
| L-Cysteine derivatives | $i$ |
| Cysteinylglycine-0.5NaI | $j$ |
| S-Methyl-L-cysteine sulfoxide | $k$ |
| L-Cysteine ethyl ester hydrochloride urea | $l$ |
| L-Cysteic acid |  |
| Glutathione |  |

${ }^{a}$ L. K. Steinrauf, J. Peterson, and L. H. Jensen, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 80, 3835 (1958). ${ }^{b}$ B. M. Oughton and P. M. Harrison, Acta Crystallogr., 12, 396 (1959); Struct. Rep., 23, 593 (1959). с J. Peterson, L. K. Steinrauf, and L. H. Jensen, Acta Crystallogr., 13, 104 (1960). ${ }^{d}$ H. L. Yakel, Jr., and E. W. Hughes, ibid., 7, 291 (1954). ${ }^{\text {e M. O. Chaney and L. K. Steinrauf, Acta Crystallogr., }}$ Sect. B, 24, 1564 (1968). ${ }^{\prime}$ M. M. Harding and H. A. Long, ibid., 24, 1096 (1968). $\quad$ \& R. Ramachandra Ayyar, Z. Kristallogr. Kristallgeometrie, Kristallphys., Kristallchem., 126, 227 (1968). ${ }^{h}$ H. B. Dyer, Acta Crystallogr., 4, 42 (1951). ${ }^{i}$ R. Hine, ibid., 15, 635 (1962). i D. J. Haas, ibid., 19, 860 (1965). ${ }^{k}$ H. Konishi, T. Ashida, and M. Kakudo, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap., 41, 2305 (1968). ${ }^{l}$ F. E. Cole, Acta Crystallogr., in press.
their derivatives (Table I), as well as with the structures of L-cystine dihydrobromide dihydrate, ${ }^{5}$ 3,3-dimethyl-L-cysteine hydrochloride hydrate, ${ }^{6}$ and $3,3,3^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$ 'tetra-methyl-D-cystine dihydrochloride ${ }^{7}$ being studied in our laboratory.

## Experimental Section

DL-Lanthionine and a mixture of $L$ - and meso-lanthionine were purchased from Mann Research Laboratories, New York, N. Y. Aqueous solutions were prepared by dissolving each powder with normal or concentrated HCl , and were allowed to evaporate slowly at room temperature $\left(22 \pm 3^{\circ}\right)$. After many unsuccessful attempts, colorless, transparent, and well-formed crystals of mesolanthionine dihydrochloride ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}$ ), shaped like flat needles, were grown. They were monoclinic, with the unique $b$ axis normal to the large face and with the $c$ axis parallel to the needle direction. The crystal used for this study, referred to as crystal I, was cut with a fresh razor blade nearly perpendicular to the $c$ axis, and was mounted with the $c^{*}$ axis parallel to the $\phi$ axis of the goniostat. It measured $0.15 \times 0.057 \times 0.13 \mathrm{~mm}$ along $a, b$, and $c$, respectively, and displayed a hexagonal cross section bounded by faces (010), (010), (120), ( $\overline{120}),(1 \overline{2} 0)$, and ( $\overline{120})$.

Reflections were systematically absent for $h 0 l, h$ odd, and $0 k 0$, $k$ odd, suggesting uniquely the space group $P 2_{1} / a$. The following unit cell parameters were obtained with copper radiation $[\lambda(\mathrm{Cu}$ $\left.\mathrm{K} \alpha_{1}\right) 1.54051 \AA$ A on a G.E. XRD-5 diffractometer at room temperature (22 $\pm 3^{\circ}$ ): $a=9.821$ (1), $b=20.158$ (1), and $c=5.706$ (1) $\AA, \beta=93.37(1)^{\circ}, V_{c}=1127.7 \AA^{3}$. These dimensions were refined (and their standard deviations estimated), by the least-squares method, from 40 independent measurements of reasonably intense reflections at large $2 \theta$ angles, where the peaks from $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha_{2}$ could be distinguished. The buoyant density observed for the crystal, $d_{\text {obsd }}=1.67(1) \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, was measured from a mixture of benzene and 1,2-dibromoethane; the density calculated from the cell parameters, $d_{\text {calod }}$, is $1.656 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, taking $Z=4$.

Data were collected to the limit $2 \theta=160^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation) on an XRD-6 diffractometer using the stationary crystal-stationary

[^1]counter technique ${ }^{8}$ with balanced Ni -Co Ross filters. Of the 2484 unique reflections measured, 1606 were considered as observed, on the criterion that their intensities were at least twice the average background intensity. Lorentz, polarization, and $\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}$ splitting corrections were made. A detailed correction for absorption ${ }^{9}$ was applied, based on the geometry of the crystal and on the linear absorption coefficient, $\mu=68.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, calculated from ref 10 . The transmission coefficients varied from 0.44 to 0.71 .

No decay had been observed in the intensities of the reflections used as standards during the collection of the data. However, the crystal started becoming cloudy 2 months later. One other single crystal, II, of meso-lanthionine dihydrochloride was found in another solution. ${ }^{11}$ It was elongated in the $c$ direction and had a triangular cross section bounded by faces ( 010 ), ( $1 \overline{1} 0$ ), and ( $\overline{110}$ ), each about 0.2 mm wide. After being cut nearly perpendicular to the $c$ axis to a length of 0.2 mm , and mounted with $c^{*}$ parallel to the $\phi$ axis, the crystal was used to refine the cell parameters. We began collecting new data, but several reflections used as standards showed more than a $10 \%$ loss in intensity after only onethird of the way through. A comparison with the data from the original crystal left no doubt that both crystals had the same structure; but, thereafter, these new data were abandoned.

## Results

Solution and Refinement of the Structure. The structure was solved by the heavy atom method. From a sharpened Patterson synthesis all vectors from the three heavy atoms were located, using the Harker section $(u, 1 / 2, w)$ and Harker line $(1 / 2, v, 0)$. A Fourier synthesis, with phases determined from the heavy atoms, yielded the structure.

Atomic parameters were refined by the method of least squares with block-diagonal approximation, each block containing the parameters of one atom. The quantity minimized was

$$
\sum_{H} w_{H}\left(\left|F_{H}{ }^{\circ}\right|-\frac{1}{k}\left|F_{H}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2}
$$

where $w_{H}=\left(1 / f_{o x y}\right)$. Scattering factor values for $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$, and C were obtained from ref 10 and for H from Stewart, Davidson, and Simpson. ${ }^{12}$ With individual anisotropic thermal factors for all the nonhydrogen atoms, the structure was refined to an $R$ of 0.072 . An electron density difference synthesis showed the positions of all the hydrogen atoms at peak heights from 0.4 to $0.7 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$, and the succeeding structure refinement included the hydrogens with individual isotropic thermal factors.

Real and imaginary parts of the anomalous dispersion corrections ${ }^{10}$ were applied to the scattering factors of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{S}$, and O . The final $R$ value was 0.056 for all observed reflections. In the last cycle of refinement, all the non-hydrogen parameters shifted by less than a tenth of their estimated standard deviations (esd), and the hydrogen parameters by no more than a third. ${ }^{13}$

Bond Lengths and Angles. The refined atomic
(8) T. F. Furnas, Jr., and D. Harker, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 26, 449 (1955).
(9) P. Coppens in "Crystallographic Computing," F. R. Ahmed, Ed., Munksgaard Publishers, Copenhagen, 1970, pp 255-270.
(10) "International Tables for X-ray Crystallography," Vol. III, 2nd ed, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1968, pp 166, 202-207, 214.
(11) It is noteworthy that crystal I of meso-lanthionine dihydrochloride came from a solution of DL-lanthionine and crystal II from a solution of L - and meso-lanthionine. Gross and Morell (see ref 3) have observed that L-lanthionine transforms to meso- and DL-lanthionine at a ratio of $0.43: 0.57$ "when exposed to the conditions of standard acid hydrolysis."
(12) R. F. Stewart, E. R. Davidson, and W. T. Simpson, J. Chem. Phys., 42, 3175 (1965).
(13) See paragraph at end of paper regarding supplementary material.

Table II. Final Atomic Fractional Coordinates and Thermal Parameters ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $b$ | 11 | $b_{22}$ | $b_{33}$ | $b_{12}$ | $b_{13}$ | $b_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 0.0258 (2) | -0.34386 (7) | -0.2673 (3) | 0.010 | (2) | 0.00160 (3) | 0.0294 (5) | -0.0002 (1) | 0.0066 (4) | 17 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 0.4143 (2) | 0.37819 (6) | 0.0214 (3) | 0.008 | (1) | 0.00145 (3) | 0.0261 (4) | -0.0007 (1) | -0.0042 (4) 0 | , 0004 (2) |
| S | 0.3958 (1) | -0.43922 (6) | -0.5648 (3) | 0.007 | 79 (1) | 0.00085 (2) | 0.0245 (4) | 0.00022 (9) | 0.0022 (4) 0 | 0005 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 0.0719 (5) | -0.5956 (2) | -0.1710 (8) | 0.010 | (5) | 0.0020 (1) | 0.024 (1) | -0.0035 (4) | 0.006 (1) -0 | 0011 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 0.1491 (4) | -0. 5091 (2) | 0.0462 (7) | 0.008 | 86(5) | 0.0019 (1) | 0.022 (1) | 0.0002 (3) | 0.003 (1) -0 | , 0005 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 0.2550 (5) | -0.2410 (2) | -0.9087 (8) | 0.013 | 36 (6) | 0.0016 (1) | 0.030 (2) | 0.0043 (4) | -0.001 (2) 0 | . 026 (7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 0.1991 (5) | -0.2126 (2) | -0.5484 (8) | 0.012 | 24 (6) | 0.0015 (1) | 0.028 (2) | 0.0024 (4) | 0.000 (1) | . 013 (6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 0.2405 (5) | -0.5727 (2) | -0.5041 (9) | 0.010 | 100 (6) | 0.0011 (1) | 0.023 (2) | -0.0013 (4) | 0.006 (1) -0 | . 010 (6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 0.3727 (6) | -0. 2964 (2) | -0.3411 (10) | 0.01 | 42 (7) | 0.0011 (1) | 0.029 (2) | 0.0013 (5) | -0.013 (2) -0 | .002 (7) |
| C(1) | 0.3140 (6) | -0.4673 (2) | -0.3059 (10) | 0.008 | (6) | 0.0010 (1) | 0.024 (2) | -0.0002 (4) | 0.000 (2) 0 | . 0004 (7) |
| C(2) | 0.1982 (6) | -0.5151 (2) | -0.3611 (10) | 0.008 | 80 (6) | 0.0011 (1) | 0.022 (2) | -0.0004 (4) | 0.001 (2) 0 | . 0003 (7) |
| C(3) | 0.1379 (6) | -0.5392 (3) | -0.1359 (10) | 0.007 |  | 0.0015 (1) | 0.020 (2) | -0.0010 (4) | -0.002(2) 0 | . 0008 (7) |
| C(4) | 0.2901 (6) | -0.3705 (3) | -0.6698(10) | 0.008 |  | 0.0011 (1) | 0.021 (2) | -0.0001 (4) | 0.000 (2) 0 | . 0006 (7) |
| C(5) | 0.3513 (6) | -0.3030 (3) | -0.5961 (11) |  | 84 (6) | 0.0010 (1) | 0.030 (2) | 0.0010 (4) | -0.006 (2) 0 | . 0009 (7) |
| C(6) | 0.2606 (6) | -0.2470 (3) | -0.6810 (11) | 0.009 | 91 (6) | 0.0011 (1) | 0.026 (2) | 0.0007 (4) | -0.006(2) -0. | 0006 (7) |
| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |  | $B,{ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{A}^{2}$ | ${ }^{2}$ Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B, \AA^{2}$ |
| H(1) | 0.378 (5) | (5) $\quad 0.487$ | (3) -0.209 |  | 1.5 | H(8) | 0.219 (6) | -0.374 (3) | (3) -0.594 (10) | 2.2 |
| H(2) | 0.286 (7) | -0.431 | (3) -0.215 | (12) | 3.1 | H (9) | 0.291 (6) | -0.371 (3) | (3) -0.833(10) | 1.8 |
| H(3) | 0.121 (6) | - 0.490 | (3) -0.477 | (11) | 2.5 | $\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 0.451 (7) | -0.298 (4) | (4) $-0.621(13)$ | 4.3 |
| H(4) | 0.287 (8) | -0.564 | (4) -0.650 | (14) | 4.5 | H(11) | 0.285 (10) | ) $0.0 .297(5)$ | (5) -0.246 (17) | 7.0 |
| H(5) | 0.164 (7) | -0.596 | (4) -0.538 | (13) | 3.9 | $\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 0.396 (8) | -0.250 (4) | (4) -0.294(14) | 4.5 |
| H(6) | 0.286 (9) | -0.598 | (5) -0.410 | (17) | 6.7 | H(13) | 0.442 (8) | -0.334 (4) | (4) -0.265 (14) | 5.0 |
| H(7) | 0.042 (6) | ) $\quad-0.611$ | (3) -0.036 | (12) | 3.0 | H(14) | 0.202 (7) | -0.208 (4) | (4) -0.953 (13) | 4.1 |

${ }^{a}$ The thermal factor for atoms having anisotropic parameters is given by the expression exp $-\left\{b_{11} h^{2}+b_{22} k^{2}+b_{33} l^{2}+b_{12} h k+b_{13} h l+\right.$ $\left.b_{23} k l\right\}$. Estimated standard deviations, obtained from the least-squares matrix, are indicated in parentheses. ${ }^{b}$ The average esd for $B$ is $1.6 \AA^{2}$.


Figure 1. Valence bond lengths (in $\AA$ ). Esd's are given in parentheses. This drawing and those in Figures 2, 3, and 4 were made with the ORTEP program, using $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids for the non-hydrogen atoms and $0.08-\AA$ radii for the hydrogens.
parameters listed in Table II were used to calculate the bond lengths and angles (Figures 1 and 2, respectively) of meso-lanthionine dihydrochloride. The distances and angles not involving hydrogen atoms are commensurate with the corresponding ones observed in previously reported structures of amino acids containing sulfur (Table I), as well as with the corresponding average values (about $C^{\alpha}$ ) reported by Marsh and Donohue. ${ }^{14 \text {. In general, there is reasonable agree- }}$ ment between the halves of the molecule, with equivalent bond lengths and angles differing by 1.6 esd's on the average. However, larger differences are present, up to 5 esd's, which have no apparent simple explanation. The covalent bond lengths from hydrogen to carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen average about $0.1 \AA$ shorter than comparable lengths observed in neutron diffraction studies, as is usually found. ${ }^{15}$

Conformation of the Molecule. The torsion angles

[^2]

Figure 2. Valence bond angles, excluding those involving hydrogen atoms (in deg). Esd's are $0.4-0.6^{\circ}$. The largest difference between equivalent bonds and angles is between the angles $\mathrm{N}-\hat{\mathrm{C}}^{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}$.

Table III. Torsion Angles

| Torsion angle <br> description | IUPAC-IUB <br> designation | Torsion angle <br> value, deg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\left.\beta^{\prime}-\mathbf{S}^{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}^{\beta}-\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}\right)^{a}}\right.$ | $\left(\chi_{1}{ }^{2}, \chi_{2}{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\pm(85, \quad 100)$ |
| $\tau_{2}\left(\mathbf{S}^{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}^{\beta}-\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}-\mathrm{N}\right)$ | $\left(\chi_{1}^{1}, \chi_{2}{ }^{1}\right)$ | $\pm(54, \quad-58)$ |
| $\tau_{2}\left(\mathbf{S}^{\gamma}-\mathbf{C}^{\beta}-\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | $\pm(178,-179)$ |
| $\tau_{2}\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}^{\prime}\right)$ | $\left(\psi_{1}{ }^{1}, \psi_{2}^{1}\right)$ | $\pm(147,-13)$ |

${ }^{a} \tau_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\beta^{\prime}}-\mathrm{S}^{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}^{\beta}-\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}\right)$ designates the two equivalent torsion angles $\tau[C(4)-S-C(1)-C(2)]$ and $\tau[C(1)-S-C(4)-C(5)]$, in that order; hence, the subscript " 2 '". The sign " $\pm$ " refers to the two enantiomorphs in the structure, with the upper sign designating the conformation of the molecule in Table II. ${ }^{b}$ IUPAC-IUB Commission on Biochemical Nomenclature, J. Mol. Biol., 52, 1 (1970).
found in meso-lanthionine are listed in Table III. It is most interesting that the sulfur atom is $\pm$ synclinal ${ }^{16}$ to the nitrogen atoms and $\pm$ antiperiplanar ${ }^{16}$ to the carboxyl carbon atoms. Although similar conformations have been found in related structures studied in our laboratory (see the first paragraph of this paper), the previously reported structures in Table

[^3]

Figure 3. The environment of sulfur (distances in $\AA$ ). Atoms $\mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{C}(4)$, and $\mathrm{Cl}\left(2^{\mathrm{xI}}\right)$, and atoms $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\mathrm{x}}\right), \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{C}\left(4^{\mathrm{x}}\right)$, and $\mathrm{Cl}\left(2^{\mathrm{VI}}\right)$ related by a center of inversion are nearly in a plane. The $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts are directed away from this plane on either side. This environment suggests that $\mathbf{S}^{\gamma}$ 's electronic repulsion is somewhat weaker in the plane through $\mathrm{C}^{\beta^{\prime}}-\mathrm{S}^{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}^{\beta}$ than in the direction normal to it.

I most commonly have shown $\mathrm{S}^{\gamma}+$ synclinal to N and - synclinal to C. ${ }^{17}$ An extensive comparison of all the conformation angles found in the structures of L cystine, l-cysteine, and their derivatives is in preparation.
Hydrogen Bonding. Both hydrogen atoms of the carboxyl groups form nearly linear and relatively strong hydrogen bonds to the chloride ions (Table IV), whereas

Table IV. Hydrogen Bonds Involving the Carboxyl Groups

|  | Distance (esd), $\AA$ |  | Angle <br> (esd), deg <br> $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ |  |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{D}$ is the donor and A is the acceptor atom. ${ }^{b}$ The following superscripts distinguish atoms related, by symmetry operations, to those in Table II: none, $x, y, z ; \mathrm{I},-x,-1-y,-z ; \mathrm{II}, 1 / 2-x$, $-1 / 2+y,-1-z$; III, $x,-1+y,-1+z$; IV, $x, y,-1+z$; $\mathrm{V},-x,-1-y,-1-z$; VI, $x,-1+y, z$; VII, $x, y, 1+z$; VIII, $1 / 2+x,-1 / 2-y, z ;$ IX, $1-x,-y,-z ; \mathrm{X}, 1-x,-1-y$, $-1-z$; XI, $1-x,-y,-1-z$; XII, $x, 1+y, z$; XIII, $-1 / 2+$ $x,-1 / 2-y, z$.

Table V. Hydrogen Bonds and Weaker Hydrogen Interactions Involving the Amino Groups

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{~A}_{1}{ }^{a} \\ & \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{~A}_{2} \end{aligned}$ | Distance (esd), A |  | Angle (esd), deg D- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\ & \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{~A}_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\ & \mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{~A}_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hat{\mathrm{H}} \cdots \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\ \mathrm{D}- \\ \hat{\mathrm{H}} \cdots \mathrm{~A}_{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{A}_{1} \cdots \\ & \hat{\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}_{2}} \\ & \left(\text { (sum) }{ }^{b}\right. \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(4) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}\left(2^{111}\right)$ | 2.60 (8) | 3.431 (5) | 142 (6) | 110 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(4) \cdots \mathrm{S}$ | 2.76 (8) | 3.122 (5) | 102 (5) | (354) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(5) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}\left(1^{V}\right)$ | 2.44 (7) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3.313 (5) | 165 (6) | 95 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.33 (7) | 2.634 (7) | 100 (5) | (360) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(6) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(4^{\text {II }}\right)$ | 2.32 (10) | 2.895 (6) | 125 (8) | 84 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(6) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}\left(2^{\text {V1) }}\right.$ ) | 2.74 (10) | 3.505 (5) | 150 (8) | (359) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(11) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(3^{\text {VII }}\right)$ | 2.27 (10) | 3.002 (7) | 126 (7) | 93 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(11) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 2.71 (10) | 3.588 (6) | 141 (7) | (360) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(12) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}\left(1^{\mathrm{VIII}}\right)$ | 2.27 (8) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3.219 (5) | 157 (6) |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(13) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}\left(2^{\text {IX }}\right)$ | 2.12 (8) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3.159 (6) | 158 (6) | 100 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(13) \cdots \mathrm{S}$ | 2.74 (8) | 3.163 (5) | 102 (5) | (360) |

[^4]the amino hydrogens show multiple, bent contacts with electronegative atoms (Table V). Each of five of the amino hydrogens lies in the plane through two contacting atoms and the bonded nitrogen, as seen by the sum of the angles about the hydrogen atom.
All the contact distances with the amino hydrogen atoms are less than the sum of the H and A van der Waals radii, using Pauling's values ${ }^{18}$ for $\mathrm{S}(1.85 \AA)$, $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(1.8 \AA)$, and $0(1.4 \AA)$ and Baur's value ${ }^{19}$ for H $(1.0 \AA)$. An additional contact, at the limit of the van der Waals contact distance, exists between $H(4)$ and $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\text {IV }}\right)$; the distances and angle, $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{A}$, and $\mathrm{N}-\hat{\mathrm{H}} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ are respectively 2.40 (8) $\AA, 2.961$ (6) $\AA$, and $115(5)^{\circ}$.

Three contacts in Table V are considered as hydrogen bonds, while the rest are considered as hydrogen interactions. ${ }^{20,21}$ The planar configuration

has been observed in other structures (see ref 20), including that of $3,3,3^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-tetramethyl-d-cystine dihydrochloride, ${ }^{7}$ and can be understood in terms of electrostatic forces between the electropositive hydrogen atom and the two electronegative acceptors.

Sulfur Environment. The sulfur atom has four nonbonded atomic contacts (Figure 3, Table VI). An

Table VI. Contacts with Sulfur

| S $\cdots$ X | Distance (esd), $\AA$ S...X | Directed distance (A) of $X$ from the C(1)-S-C(4) plane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S $\cdots \mathrm{H}(4)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.76 (8) | 2.41 |
| $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{H}(13)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.74 (8) | -2.48 |
| S...S ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | 3.251 (2) | 0.058 |
| S $\cdots \mathrm{Cl}\left(2^{\mathrm{xI}}\right)$ | 3.515 (2) | -0.386 |

unusually short $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{x}}$ contact distance, 3.251 (2) $\AA$, exists between two sulfide ( $\mathrm{C}^{\beta^{\gamma}}-\mathrm{S}^{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}^{\beta}$ ) groups that are almost coplanar across the center of inversion at $(1 / 2,-1 / 2,-1 / 2)$; this distance is $0.45 \AA$ shorter than the accepted van der Waals contact distance. ${ }^{18}$ A second sulfur contact, with $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, is also directed close to the plane through the sulfide group (Table VI). The remaining two contacts with sulfur, the intramolecular $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ contacts (Table V), are, in contrast, directed less than $30^{\circ}$ from the normal to either side of the plane through $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1)$.
Assuming sulfur's 3 p orbitals to be unhybridized, that orbital containing an unshared pair of electrons should extend from S normal to the plane through $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(1),{ }^{22}$ with its maximal electron density on either side of this plane $0.81 \AA$ from the sulfur atom. ${ }^{23}$ The plane itself is a nodal surface for this 3 p orbital, ${ }^{22}$

[^5]

Figure 4. The packing of meso-lanthionine dihydrochloride; a stereo pair. The positive $a$ direction points left. The five hydrogen bonds (Tables IV and V) are shown, but not the weaker hydrogen interactions. The chloride ions lie between wavy layers of meso-lanthionine cations.
so that near the plane the electron density of the unshared pair of $3 p$ electrons should be quite small.

The $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}\left(2^{\mathrm{XI}}\right)$ contacts lie nearly in this nodal plane. Since there is no theoretical justification to infer a $S \cdots S^{\mathrm{X}}$ attraction, nor the presence of strong forces in the crystal that could squeeze the sulfur atoms close together, the orientation of this short contact distance suggests for sulfur a somewhat weaker electronic repulsion (or a smaller van der Waals radius, $1.625 \AA$ ) in the plane through $C^{\beta^{\prime}}-S^{\gamma}-C^{\beta}$ than in the direction normal to this plane. The disposition of the $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{H}(4)$ and $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{H}(13)$ contacts nearly normal to the sulfide plane, on the other hand, permits weak hydrogen interactions with sulfur's unshared pair of $3 p$ electrons. It should be noted that the conformation angles $\tau_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\beta^{\prime}}-\mathrm{S}^{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}^{\beta}-\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}\right)$ of $\pm\left(85^{\circ}, 100^{\circ}\right)$ facilitate $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ directions favorable for these interactions.

The environment of the sulfur atom cannot be so readily interpreted electronically if complete $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridization is assumed for sulfur. Although the $\mathrm{S} \cdot . \cdot \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{X}}$ contact will lie in a 'nodal"' plane between the hybridized orbitals containing sulfur's two unshared pairs of electrons, the $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ contacts will be directed $40-60^{\circ}$ from these orbitals. Additional evidence, found by us in the structure of $3,3,3^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-tetramethyl-D-cystine dihydrochloride ${ }^{7}$ and elsewhere, ${ }^{24,25}$ tends to support a model for the electronic distribution about $\mathrm{S}^{\gamma}$ based on pure p sulfur bonding, and will be discussed in a later paper.

Packing. The molecule of meso-lanthionine extends itself about $10 \AA$ mainly along the $b$ axis. Wavy layers, composed of molecules related by the twofold screw axis along $b$ and the translation along $c$, are stacked normal to the $a^{*}$ axis and are spaced $\left(2 a^{*}\right)^{-1}=4.90 \AA$ apart (Figure 4). Adjacent molecular layers have opposite chirality, and the chloride ions lie between them.

[^6]Table VII. Additional Interatomic Distances

| X $\cdots$ Y | Distance (esd), Å X…Y | X $\cdots$ Y | Distance (esd), Å $X \cdots Y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1) \cdots \mathrm{H}(8)$ | 2.81 (6) | $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{H}(6)$ | 2.57 (9) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1) \cdots \mathrm{H}(2)$ | 3.10 (6) | $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{H}(2)$ | 2.59 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\mathrm{x11}}\right)$ | 3.265 (6) | $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(3^{1}\right)$ | 3.054 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(1^{\mathrm{XII}}\right)$ | 3.04 (5) | $\mathrm{O}(4) \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(10^{\text {xili }}\right)$ | 2.46 (7) |
| $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{H}\left(1^{\mathrm{x}}\right.$ ) | 3.03 (5) | $\mathrm{O}(4) \cdots \mathrm{H}(12)$ | 2.47 (8) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}(4) \cdots \mathrm{H}(11)$ | 2.53 (10) |

The position of $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ is stabilized by three hydrogen bonds and one weaker $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ - interaction; that of $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ is stabilized by two hydrogen bonds and two weaker $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$interactions (see Tables IV and V ). Additional contacts with the chloride ions are given in Tables VI and VII. The $\mathrm{Cl}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\mathrm{XII}}\right)$ contact (Table VII) is directed $2^{\circ}$ from the normal to the plane through the carboxyl group at $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\mathrm{XII}}\right)$.

The strongest contacts between the lanthionine molecules appear to be the hydrogen interactions $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(6) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(4^{I I}\right)$ and $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(11) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(3^{\mathrm{VII}}\right)$ (Table V), occurring within each molecular layer. Between adjacent layers, the most important packing distance is between S and $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{x}}$ (Table VI). Additional short interatomic distances are listed in Table VII.
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## Communications to the Editor

## Alkylated Disulfides. A Degenerate Rearrangement

 Sir:Alkylated disulfide salts of the type $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+} \mathrm{SR} \mathrm{X}^{-}$are often encountered as intermediates in cleavage reactions of $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds assisted by electrophiles. ${ }^{1,2}$ Although they are not generally isolable, dimethylmethylthiosulfonium fluoroborate (I) is relatively stable and can be prepared by the methylation of methyl disulfide with trimethyloxonium fluoroborate. ${ }^{3}$ The ease with which the $S-S$ bond of $I$ is cleaved is evident in the rapid exchange reaction it undergoes with methyl sulfide (eq 1). ${ }^{4-6}$ We find, however, that an irreversible reaction

intervenes on prolonged standing or heating of these reagents which leads to trimethylsulfonium fluoroborate and methyl disulfide (eq 2). This reaction appears to be

a straightforward displacement at methyl carbon of I by methyl sulfide. 1-Phenylethyl methyl sulfide (IIb) also reacts with I in nitromethane to give the sulfonium salt IIIb, but, in contrast to IIa, we find this reaction is not a simple SN2 displacement and involves an alkylated disulfide intermediate that rapidly undergoes a degenerate carbon-to-sulfur rearrangement. The evidence for this follows. (1) Using $\mathrm{RSCD}_{3}$ where $\mathrm{R}=1$ phenylethyl, the deuterium label was found in the disulfide and not in the salt IIIb. ${ }^{7-9}$ This means that the
(1) J. L. Kice, Accounts Chem. Res., 1, 58 (1968); J. L. Kice and J. P. Cleveland, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95, 104 (1973).
(2) B. Miller and C. H. Han, Chem. Commun., 623 (1970); J. Org. Chem. 36, 1513 (1971).
(3) (a) G. K. Helmkamp, H. N. Cassey, B. A. Olsen, and D. J. Pettitt, J. Org. Chem., 30, 933 (1965); (b) H. Meerwein, K. F. Zenner, and R. Gipp, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 688, 67 (1965).
(4) J. L. Kice and N. A. Favstritsky, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 1751 (1969).
(5) S. H. Smallcombe and M. C. Caserio, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 5826 (1971).
(6) The specific rate $k$ for the reaction of eq 1 in nitromethane at $40^{\circ}$ has been determined by nmr methods as $4.5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ (ref 4 and 5 ).
reaction of eq 2 is not in this case a simple methyl transfer from I to IIb but involves C -S cleavage of the sulfide.
(2) The disulfide obtained from $\mathrm{RSCD}_{3}$ and I was found by mass spectral analysis to be a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SSCH}_{3}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SSCD}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{SSCD}_{3 .}{ }^{10}$ Furthermore, unreacted sulfide recovered from the reaction of a twofold excess of $\mathrm{RSCD}_{3}$ with I showed that extensive methyl exchange had occurred. The ratio of $\mathrm{RSCH}_{3}$ to $\operatorname{RSCD}_{3}$ was determined by $n \mathrm{mr}$ as $1: 2$. (3) The ( - )enantiomer of $\mathrm{RSCH}_{3}$, IIb, ${ }^{11}$ gave racemic salt IIIb on reaction with I. Control reactions showed that IIIb was configurationally stable under the reaction conditions ${ }^{12}$ and that IIb was likewise stable except in the presence of I. (4) Nmr spectra and optical rotations recorded as frequently as possible after mixing the reagents showed that reaction was substantially complete within 15 min at room temperature. Resonances due to products were immediately apparent; ${ }^{9}$ some methyl sulfide was also evident but disappeared as the reaction proceeded. (5) Most significantly, the sulfide is evidently racemized during reaction since nitromethane solutions of a $2: 1$ mole ratio of (-)-IIb to I lost almost $80 \%$ of its activity within minutes of mixing and thereafter slowly decayed to zero (see Figure 1); since the product salt is racemic, the residual activity of the sulfide would be $50 \%$ of its initial value had no racemization occurred.

The foregoing results can be explained by the mechanistic sequence of Scheme I. Rapid S-S cleavage of I is shown to occur reversibly in the first step by nucleophilic attack of II at dicoordinate sulfur to give the
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